	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Name of Company:	Institute and Faculty of Actuaries	
Disclosure of comments:	Please indicate if your comments should be treated as confidential:	Public
	Please follow the following instructions for filling in the template:	
	Do not change the numbering in the column "reference"; if you change numbering, your comment cannot be processed by our IT tool	
	⇒ Leave the last column empty.	
	Please fill in your comment in the relevant row. If you have <u>no comment</u> on a paragraph or a cell, keep the row <u>empty</u> .	
	Our IT tool does not allow processing of comments which do not refer to the specific numbers below.	
	Please send the completed template, in Word Format, to CP-16-003@eiopa.europa.eu	
	Our IT tool does not allow processing of any other formats.	
	The numbering of the paragraphs refers to on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation.	
Reference	Comment	
General Comment	Single real rate estimate used for all countries	
	The estimation basis assumes that all currencies / countries will converge to the same long-run real rate of return or real economic output. There is perhaps an argument for this where countries are close trading partners, e.g. in the Eurozone, but this is not necessarily true for different countries across the globe, reflected in very different yield curves prior to the last liquid point (LLP). We therefore feel that estimated real rates should reflect country-specific information where relevant.	

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
	Real rates estimated using historic time series	
	The assumption that a long-run historic time series can be used to estimate future rates relies on real rates being stationary and converging to a mean value. There is however very little evidence of this, with the ARMA model fitted in the consultation paper not achieving a close statistical fit.	
	The use of very long forward rates would seem more credible for this purpose, perhaps supplemented by historic data where forward rates are not available, although as a consequence, the derived Ultimate Forward Rate (UFR) will be less stable.	
	We note that there have been a number of step changes in rates of return over the past 40 years. The model assumes a degree of historic continuity which may not exist in reality.	
Q1. (pg. 56)	We agree with the approach. As noted in the consultation, the approach is theoretically sound and consistent with the Solvency II Delegated Regulation.	
Q2. (pg. 56)	We agree that in order to obtain a stable estimate of long-run real rates of return, a significant period of historical data is required, with rates going back to 1960 achieving this purpose. However we do not believe that the historic averaging approach is appropriate; refer to comments made in the general comments section above.	
Q3. (pg. 56)	We agree that a geometric weighting scheme is appropriate; it favours most recent data and therefore allows more recent trends in real rates of return to influence the long-run estimate. As above, we do not however believe that the historic averaging approach is appropriate; refer to comments made in the general comments section above.	
Q4. (pg. 56)	We do not agree with the proposed approach. It is difficult to see why the 'four buckets' approach is used to allow for inflation expectations, rather than a 'pure inflation target'. The rationale given in the consultation paper is that it leads to the same UFRs for all European countries. However, this ignores the fact that certain central banks in Europe have higher long-run inflation targets and are intentionally differentiating monetary policy from that of countries with lower inflation targets.	

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
	Actual inflation targets should therefore be used to ensure that yield curves reflect available economic information for each country.	
Q5. (pg. 56)	We do not agree with the proposed approach. As a consequence of the way in which real rates of return are estimated, significant changes in the UFR are likely to result from changes to central bank inflation targets. This should then feed through to the methodology used to determine the yield curve so that it reflects current information and is market consistent. If a 20 bps limit is used, but with the true UFR a significantly different value, then companies may come under pressure to disclose the impact of the ultimate level of the UFR in addition to the 'limited' rate.	
Q6. (pg. 56)	We agree with the proposed approach. Rounding changes in rates to 5 bps is preferable as this ensures that spurious annual changes are smoothed out and do not create unnecessary noise. However, we would suggest that further rounding may also be possible (to 25 bps?).	
Q7. (pg. 56)	We agree with the proposed approach. The application of a phased-in change to UFRs from the existing basis to the updated methodology will be less disruptive to companies that are making hedging and investment decisions based on the level of UFRs. The implementation method is however likely to be thought of as a type of transitional measure or phased-in adjustment where companies would be under pressure to disclose the impact of the ultimate level of the UFR in addition to the 'limited' rate.	
Paragraph 1.		
Paragraph 2.		
Paragraph 3.		
Paragraph 4.		
Paragraph 5.		
Paragraph 6.		
Paragraph 7.		
Paragraph 8.		

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Paragraph 9.		
Paragraph 10.		
Paragraph 11.		
Paragraph 12.		
Paragraph 13.		
Paragraph 14.		
Paragraph 15.		
Paragraph 16.		
Paragraph 17.		
Paragraph 18.		
Paragraph 19.		
Paragraph 20.		
Paragraph 21.		
Paragraph 22.		
Paragraph 23.		
Paragraph 24.		
Paragraph 25.		
Paragraph 26.		
Paragraph 27.		
Paragraph 28.		
Paragraph 29.		
Paragraph 30.		
Paragraph 31.		
Paragraph 32.		
Paragraph 33.		

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Paragraph 34.		
Paragraph 35.		
Paragraph 36.		
Paragraph 37.		
Paragraph 38.		
Paragraph 39.		
Paragraph 40.		
Paragraph 41.		
Paragraph 42.		
Paragraph 43.		
Paragraph 44.		
Paragraph 45.		
Paragraph 46.		
Paragraph 47.		
Paragraph 48.		
Paragraph 49.		
Paragraph 50.		
Paragraph 51.		
Paragraph 52.		
Paragraph 53.		
Paragraph 54.		
Paragraph 55.		
Paragraph 56.		
Paragraph 57.		
Paragraph 58.		

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Paragraph 59.		
Paragraph 60.		
Paragraph 61.		
Paragraph 62.		
Paragraph 63.		
Paragraph 64.		
Paragraph 65.		
Paragraph 66.		
Paragraph 67.		
Paragraph 68.		
Paragraph 69.		
Paragraph 70.		
Paragraph 71.		
Paragraph 72.		
Paragraph 73.		
Paragraph 74.		
Paragraph 75.		
Paragraph 76.		
Paragraph 77.		
Paragraph 78.		
Paragraph 79.		
Paragraph 80.		
Paragraph 81.		
Paragraph 82.		
Paragraph 83.		

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Paragraph 84.		
Paragraph 85.		
Paragraph 86.		
Paragraph 87.		
Paragraph 88.		
Paragraph 89.		
Paragraph 90.		
Paragraph 91.		
Paragraph 92.		
Paragraph 93.		
Paragraph 94.		
Paragraph 95.		
Paragraph 96.		
Paragraph 97.		
Paragraph 98.		
Paragraph 99.		
Paragraph 100.		
Paragraph 101.		
Paragraph 102.		
Paragraph 103.		
Paragraph 104.		
Paragraph 105.		
Paragraph 106.		
Paragraph 107.		
Paragraph 108.		

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Paragraph 109.		
Paragraph 110.		
Paragraph 111.		
Paragraph 112.		
Paragraph 113.		
Paragraph 114.		
Paragraph 115.		
Paragraph 116.		
Paragraph 117.		
Paragraph 118.		
Paragraph 119.		
Paragraph 120.		
Paragraph 121.		
Paragraph 122.		
Paragraph 123.		
Paragraph 124.		
Paragraph 125.		
Paragraph 126.		
Paragraph 127.		
Paragraph 128.		
Paragraph 129.		
Paragraph 130.		
Paragraph 131.		
Paragraph 132.		
Paragraph 133.		

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Paragraph 134.		
Paragraph 135.		
Paragraph 136.		
Paragraph 137.		
Paragraph 138.		
Paragraph 139.		
Paragraph 140.		
Paragraph 141.		
Paragraph 142.		
Paragraph 143.		
Paragraph 144.		
Paragraph 145.		
Paragraph 146.		
Paragraph 147.		
Paragraph 148.		
Paragraph 149.		
Paragraph 150.		
Paragraph 151.		
Paragraph 152.		
Paragraph 153.		
Paragraph 154.		
Paragraph 155.		
Paragraph 156.		
Paragraph 157.		
Paragraph 158.		

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Paragraph 159.		
Paragraph 160.		
Paragraph 161.		
Paragraph 162.		
Paragraph 163.		
Paragraph 164.		
Paragraph 165.		
Paragraph 166.		
Paragraph 167.		
Paragraph 168.		
Paragraph 169.		
Paragraph 170.		
Paragraph 171.		
Paragraph 172.		
Paragraph 173.		
Paragraph 174.		
Paragraph 175.		
Paragraph 176.		
Paragraph 177.		
Paragraph 178.		
Paragraph 179.		
Paragraph 180.		
Paragraph 181.		
Paragraph 182.		
Paragraph 183.		

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Paragraph 184.		
Paragraph 185.		
Paragraph 186.		
Paragraph 187.		
Paragraph 188.		
Paragraph 189.		
Paragraph 190.		
Paragraph 191.		
Paragraph 192.		
Paragraph 193.		
Paragraph 194.		
Paragraph 195.		
Paragraph 196.		
Paragraph 197.		
Paragraph 198.		
Paragraph 199.		
Paragraph 200.		
Paragraph 201.		
Paragraph 202.		
Paragraph 203.		
Paragraph 204.		
Paragraph 205.		
Paragraph 206.		
Paragraph 207.		
Paragraph 208.		

	Comments Template on the Consultation Paper on the methodology to derive the UFR and its implementation	Deadline 18 July 2016 23:59 CET
Paragraph 209.		
Paragraph 210.		
Paragraph 211.		
Paragraph 212.		
Paragraph 213.		
Paragraph 214.		
Paragraph 215.		
Paragraph 216.		
Paragraph 217.		
Paragraph 218.		
Paragraph 219.		
Paragraph 220.		
Paragraph 221.		
Paragraph 222.		
Paragraph 223.		
Paragraph 224.		
Paragraph 225.		
Paragraph 226.		
Paragraph 227.		
Paragraph 228.		
Paragraph 229.		
Paragraph 230.		
Paragraph 231.		