	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11/006 Response to Call for Advice on the review of Directive 2003/41/EC: second consultation	Deadline 02.01.2012 18:00 CET
Company name:	Whitbread Group PLC	
Disclosure of comments:	EIOPA will make all comments available on its website, except where respondents specifically request that their comments remain confidential.	Public
	Please indicate if your comments on this CP should be treated as confidential, by deleting the word Public in the column to the left and by inserting the word Confidential .	
	The question numbers below correspond to Consultation Paper No. 06 (EIOPA-CP-11/006).	
	Please follow the instructions for filling in the template:	
	⇒ Do not change the numbering in column "Question".	
	\Rightarrow Please fill in your comment in the relevant row. If you have <u>no comment</u> on a question, keep the row <u>empty</u> .	
	⇒ There are 96 questions for respondents. Please restrict responses in the row "General comment" only to material which is not covered by these 96 questions.	
	\Rightarrow Our IT tool does not allow processing of comments which do not refer to the specific question numbers below.	
	 If your comment refers to multiple questions, please insert your comment at the first relevant question and mention in your comment to which other questions this also applies. 	
	 If your comment refers to parts of a question, please indicate this in the comment itself. 	
	Please send the completed template to CP-006@eiopa.europa.eu , in MSWord Format, (our IT tool does not allow processing of any other formats).	
Question	Comment	
General comment	Whitbread Group PLC operates a UK trust based occupational pension scheme with a defined benefits	
	section and a defined contribution section. Whitbread takes seriously its responsibility for ensuring that the promised benefits of the scheme are	

	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11/006 Response to Call for Advice on the review of Directive 2003/41/EC: second consultation	Deadline 02.01.2012 18:00 CET
	provided and has in place a number of measures to provide financial support to the Trustees to properly fund the scheme. This is not unusual in the UK and is a very different framework from that of Insurance Companies, which are, of course, not supported by a sponsoring employer. Whitbread does not agree that a new version of the IORP directive is needed, nor that in its current form that it is a barrier to the growth of cross border pension schemes. Whitbread has reviewed the impact of the holistic balance sheet and believes that valuing Technical Provisions on a risk free basis would increase our funding requirement by around 50% (1,080m Euros). Clearly meeting such a requirement would have significant impact on our business and potentially on our ability to fund future pensions provision.	
1.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
2.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
3.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
4.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
5.	Whitbread urges EIOPA to request the EC to evidence how cross border pensions provision is affected by the definition and how many cross border scheme would result. Whitbread has European operations, but no intention of operating a cross border scheme because the tax system, social security system, and remuneration practices are very different across Europe and our pension provision in one jurisdiction would be inappropriate for other jurisdictions	
6.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
7.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
8.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	

	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11/006 Response to Call for Advice on the review of Directive 2003/41/EC: second consultation	Deadline 02.01.2012 18:00 CET
9.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
10.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
11.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
12.	The holistic balance sheet adds an unnessary level of complexity and burden to pension schemes. In itself it is simply a different way of setting out information already required to be analysed in some detail by UK pension scheme trustees (eg the strength of a company's covenant). It would be impossible and completely inappropriate to seek to "quantify" these items in the context of such a balance sheet, indeed we are concerned that doing so would be spurious and lead to a false sense of comfort. Pension scheme funding regulations should be principles based to enable trustees to concentrate on the issues that really matter. We can see no added value from producing a holistic balance sheet, just cost and risk.	
13.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
14.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
15.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
16.	IAS 19 accounting measures would result in a volatility in the measurement of pension scheme liabilities, which does not reflect a long-term pensions obligation. It would be inappropriate to measure pension scheme liabilities for long-term funding in line with the accounting basis.	
17.	If "market consistency" is meant to mean that risk free interests rates should be used for Technical Provisions, we do not support this	
18.	We see no need for any change, including Technical Provisions to continue to be assessed using a prudent estimate of expected returns on assets	
19.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	

	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11/006 Response to Call for Advice on the review of Directive 2003/41/EC: second consultation	Deadline 02.01.2012 18:00 CET
20.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
21.	We strongly disagree that Technical Provisions should be based on a risk free rate. Technical Provisions should continue to be assessed using a prudent estimate of expected returns on assets. This is because pension schemes have the support of the sponsoring employer's financial covenant and are well protected through regulated scheme specific funding principles. We see no reason for change.	
22.	We do not agree that there should be a requirement to capitalise expenses within technical provisions. This is because pension schemes have the support of the sponsoring employer's financial covenant and are well protected through regulated scheme specific funding principles	
23.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
24.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
25.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
26.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
27.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
28.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
29.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
30.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
31.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which	

	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11/006 Response to Call for Advice on the review of Directive 2003/41/EC: second consultation	Deadline 02.01.2012 18:00 CET
	provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
32.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
33.	Placing a value on Whitbread's "support" for the pension scheme would be very subjective and distract trustees from the real risk issues faced by the pension scheme. We believe that because we offer support, our pension scheme should not be subject to the solvency capital requirement.	
34.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
35.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
36.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
37.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides pension benefits	strong protection for member
38.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
39.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
40.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
41.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
42.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
43.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
44.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	

	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11/006 Response to Call for Advice on the review of Directive 2003/41/EC: second consultation	Deadline 02.01.2012 18:00 CET
45.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
46.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
47.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
48.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
49.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
50.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
51.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
52.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
53.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
54.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
55.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
56.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
57.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
58.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	

	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11/006 Response to Call for Advice on the review of Directive 2003/41/EC: second consultation	Deadline 02.01.2012 18:00 CET
59.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
60.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
61.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
62.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
63.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
64.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
65.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
66.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
67.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
68.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
69.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
70.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
71.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
72.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	

	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11/006 Response to Call for Advice on the review of Directive 2003/41/EC: second consultation	Deadline 02.01.2012 18:00 CET
73.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
74.	An occupational pension scheme is not operated like a business. Its aims are simply to pay members benefits and it is run by a Trustee. There is no need to add a costly requirement for internal audit, which will not improve member security.	
75.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
76.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
77.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
78.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
79.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
80.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
81.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
82.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
83.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
84.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
85.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
86.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	

	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11/006 Response to Call for Advice on the review of Directive 2003/41/EC: second consultation	Deadline 02.01.2012 18:00 CET
87.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
88.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
89.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
90.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
91.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
92.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
93.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
94.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
95.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	
96.	We see no reason for change to the current regulatory regime for UK pension schemes, which provides strong protection for member's pension benefits	