	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11-002 Technical Consultation on the Solvency II XBRL Taxonomy
Company name:	Itzehoer Versicherung
Disclosure of comments:	EIOPA will make all comments available on its website, except where respondents specifically request that their comments remain confidential.
	Please indicate if your comments on this consultation should be treated as confidential, by deleting the word Public in the column to the right and by inserting the word Confidential.
Please follow the instructions for filling in the template:	 Do not change the numbering in column "Reference". ⇒ Please fill in your comment in the relevant row. If you have no comment on a paragraph, keep the row empty. ⇒ Our IT tool does not allow processing of comments which do not refer to the specific paragraph numbers below. o If your comment refers to multiple paragraphs, please insert your comment at the first relevant paragraph and mention in your comment to which other paragraphs this also applies. o If your comment refers to sub-bullets/sub-paragraphs, please indicate this in the comment itself. Please send the completed template to consultation.taxonomy-201107@eiopa.europa.eu, in MSWord Format, (our IT tool does not allow processing of any other formats). The paragraph numbers below correspond to the document: EIOPA-CP-11-002 Introduction Taxonomy Consultation.doc
Reference	Comment
General Comment	
10.	We have no experience
11.	We can't decide wether the Sample taxonomy comply with XBRL specification. We will use a standard software to comply with reporting Solvency II-Data in XBRL.
11.1.	
11.1.1.	
11.1.2.	Our software distributor asks: For example 1. QRT SCR-B3C: Why do you give equal fields (same column) for different risks seperat labels and don't use a dimension for risks (in analogie to line of business) and use equal labels. 2. QRT MCR-B4A: Why do you give equal fields (same column) for different line of business seperat labels and don't use

	Comments Template on EIOPA-CP-11-002 Technical Consultation on the Solvency II XBRL Taxonomy
	the dimension for line of business and use equal labels.
	3. QRT BS-C1D: Why do you give equal fields (same row) for different currencies seperat labels and don't use a dimension for currency and use equal labels.
11.1.3.	
11.2.	
12.	We can't decide wether the Sample Taxonomy comply with XBRL specification. We will use a standard software to comply with reporting Solvency II-Data in XBRL. How complex will the Taxonomy be? Will XBRL taxonomy for Solvency II be developed together with the German XBRL-Group and are the concepts of eBilanz considered.
12.1.	
12.2.	
12.3.	
13.1.	We can't decide wether the Sample Taxonomy comply with XBRL specification. We will use a standard software to comply with reporting Solvency II-Data in XBRL. How stabel will the Taxonomy be?
13.2.	We will use a Standard Software to comply with reporting Solvency II-Data in XBRL. Business-changes are included.
13.3.	We can't extract maintenance costs for XBRL from general Maintenance costs of our standard software, for it's a total.
14.	Costs of standard software modules, maintenance
15.	Maybe national authority can't import data in time. EIOPA pretends changes, and XBRL can't be changed in time.
16.	You have to consider, that enterprises for standard software for Solvency II needs early information about changes, so that requirements could be included and software could delivered in time. Our company needs enough time for software distribution inhouse.
18.	We can't decide wether your statements are true. We will use a standard software for reporting Solvency II-Data in XBRL.
19.	We can't decide wether your statements are true. We will use a standard software for reporting Solvency II-Data in XBRL.
20.	We can't decide wether your statements are true. We will use a standard software for reporting Solvency II-Data in XBRL.
21.	We can't decide wether your statements are true. We will use a standard software for reporting Solvency II-Data in XBRL.